



PATRICK MENIBOON

A Value Reimagining Company

THE FOUNDER'S FREEDOM GUIDE

7 Costly Mistakes That Keep Founders Trapped in Their Own Businesses

Protect your customer promise.

Reduce the work that keeps coming back to you.

Patrick Meniboon, MBA

Founder & Principal Value Reimaginer

Seven mistakes. Worked examples. Your First Freedom Move.

VALUE STRATEGY / PROCESS ARCHITECTURE / AI ADOPTION

A NOTE FROM PATRICK

Build a business that gives you more choice

Start with one recurring problem that keeps pulling you back in.

You started your business for a reason. It may have been more control over your time, financial stability, meaningful work, or something you could pass to the next generation. A growing company can support those aims. It can also fill every hour you hoped to reclaim.

An employee needs an answer. A customer is waiting. An invoice cannot go out until you review it. Each request seems small. Together, they make you the person who can never quite leave.

Freedom means having a choice about where your time goes, while the business keeps its promises. You may want to stay close to customers or lead the next stage of growth. The question is whether ordinary work can continue when your attention is elsewhere.

Leave with one useful decision

This guide will help you identify one costly dependence, check why it exists, and plan a small test to reduce it. You will name an owner, set clear limits, and decide what evidence would show improvement.

My work begins with the value already in a business. Before adding people or technology, I want to understand the promise customers pay for and the work that keeps it. That view connects value strategy, process architecture, and AI adoption.

Choose the route that fits your time

Read the seven mistakes to see the full pattern. If one problem is already pressing, start with the scorecard on page 22, read the matching chapter, then complete your First Freedom Move on pages 25 and 26.

All company stories and sample numbers in this guide are fictional teaching examples. The worksheets support reflection and testing; they are not a validated business assessment.

Patrick Meniboon, MBA
Founder & Principal Value Reimagineer

YOUR ROUTE THROUGH THE GUIDE

Find the mistake that keeps work coming back

Each chapter leads to a decision and an exercise. Click a page reference to go there.

START WITH THE PROMISE

A note from Patrick	02
Follow one promise through the business	04
Define the choice you want back	05

PROTECT THE CUSTOMER PROMISE

01 Assuming what customers want	06
02 Confusing growth with health	08

MAKE THE WORK CLEAR

03 Becoming the default decision-maker	10
04 Hiring around broken processes	12
05 Buying AI before defining the work	14

BUILD LASTING INDEPENDENCE

06 Measuring activity instead of value	17
07 Leaving critical work dependent on you	19

CHOOSE AND TEST ONE CHANGE

See the connections	21
Your evidence-based scorecard	22
Choose your first issue	23
A worked First Freedom Move	24
Your First Freedom Move worksheets	25
Your next 90 days	27
Seven questions before you buy	28
About Patrick and your next step	29
Research and use notes	30

THE CUSTOMER PROMISE

Follow one promise through the business

A missed promise can become a customer problem, a cost, and another demand on your time.

Meet Maya, the fictional founder of a B2B services company. Her team helps clients move their records into a new system. Sales promises a dependable start. Delivery receives incomplete details. Maya steps in to settle the schedule, then answers the client's worried calls.

The same issue appears to be a sales problem, a staffing problem, and a founder problem. Following one promise helps Maya see how the pieces connect.

- 01 Promise**
A start date the client can rely on.
- 02 Experience**
The client repeats details and waits for confirmation.
- 03 Work**
Sales and delivery use different intake records.
- 04 Ownership and support**
Nobody owns checking the complete handoff.
- 05 Result and return**
Starts slip, rework grows, and billing may be delayed.

Find the point that needs your attention

At each step, ask what must happen, who owns it, what can fail, and what evidence would show it. These are connected parts of the business, not a fixed sequence of causes. Check the connection before choosing a response.

Maya's example returns throughout the guide. Her goal is to make routine client starts work without her daily intervention, while keeping the promise to the client.

BEFORE YOU BEGIN

Define the choice you want back

Choose a real use for the time and attention you hope to recover.

Your goal may be an uninterrupted afternoon with customers, time to develop a service, or a week away. Name the choice first. It gives the work in this guide a purpose.

Maya's example: "I want two uninterrupted mornings each week to develop our next service. Routine start-date questions keep taking that time."

What would I choose to spend more time doing?

Which recurring demand keeps taking that time?

What must keep working when I am less involved?

What recent event shows that this demand is a problem?

Return to these answers when you choose your First Freedom Move. Recovered time matters when it gives you a useful choice and customers still receive what you promised.

01 / PROTECT THE CUSTOMER PROMISE

Define the promise before you change the product

Mistake 1: Selling what you assume customers want.

Maya's team finishes a client's records migration correctly. Every file is in place. Yet the client is unhappy. During the project, dates changed, updates were vague, and the client repeatedly asked who was responsible.

Maya sees a completed service. The client remembers lost time and uncertainty. Now she is repairing a relationship that the delivery process should have protected.

Customers buy a result and an experience

The result might be accurate records in a usable system. The experience might be a dependable schedule, clear answers, and confidence that someone owns the work. Both can shape whether a buyer returns or recommends you.

PwC's 2025 consumer survey links poor experiences with customers leaving brands. That offers context, not a prediction about your B2B buyers. Your own customers are the best place to test what matters to them. [1]

Listen for the reason behind the request

Ask recent buyers why they chose you, what worried them, and when the work felt easy or difficult. Follow broad praise with a concrete question: "What happened that made you feel well informed?" Record their words before turning them into a promise.

Compare those answers with what sales offers and delivery measures. A promise such as "we make this easy" needs a visible meaning: one contact, no repeated information, or an agreed update schedule.

Notice how the gap reaches you

Track complaints you personally handle, time spent rebuilding confidence, and concessions made after a missed expectation. Check why a customer left before blaming a process gap for lost revenue.

First freedom move: define one customer promise, then give the work that keeps it a clear owner. A founder should not need to recreate the promise in every difficult conversation.

PRACTICE 01

Turn a customer's words into owned work

Leave with one promise you can check and deliver.

Worked example: "We never knew when we could start" becomes "Before kickoff, the client receives a confirmed date and a named contact." Delivery owns confirmation after sales supplies the agreed intake details.

The customer's exact words or the question I still need to ask

The result and experience the customer expects

Our promise in language a customer could recognize

The work and person responsible for keeping it

Evidence that would show we kept or missed the promise

Check the wording with a recent buyer and the person who must deliver it. Mark any assumption that still needs a conversation.

02 / PROTECT THE CUSTOMER PROMISE

Follow revenue beyond the sale

Mistake 2: Treating growth as proof of business health.

Maya’s fictional company has grown from \$900,000 to \$2.4 million in annual sales over three years. The team is busy. Her calendar is full. Yet she spends Friday checking late invoices and calming clients whose projects started late.

Sales tell her that customers are buying. They do not show whether delivery is profitable, payment is timely, or the business can handle its promises without extra rescue.

Look at four different signals

Signal	Question to ask
Sales	What did customers agree to buy?
Margin	What remains after the relevant costs?
Cash timing	When does money actually arrive?
Delivery	Can we keep the promise with the capacity we have?

A delay in invoicing can slow cash without permanently losing the sale. Rework can consume paid capacity without creating a new cash expense that week. Name the effect correctly before estimating a benefit.

Estimate one cost you can observe

Suppose Maya’s team spends four hours each week repairing incomplete handoffs. At an assumed loaded labor rate of \$40 per hour, that uses \$160 of paid capacity a week, or \$640 across four similar weeks. The hours and rate are sample assumptions, not results.

A better handoff might free some of that capacity. It becomes a cash saving only if spending actually falls. It supports more revenue only if the recovered capacity helps the business win or deliver paid work.

Notice what comes back to you

If you must chase every invoice or renegotiate delivery dates, growth can increase your personal workload. Trace the earliest point where the work became incomplete or unclear.

First freedom move: choose one repeated leak, separate its time, spending, and cash effects, and investigate the cause before choosing the fix.

PRACTICE 02

Estimate the cost without overstating it

Leave with one observed problem and a clearly labeled estimate.

Worked example: 4 hours of rework per week $\times$ \$40 assumed loaded hourly cost = \$160 of paid capacity used.
This is not an automatic cash saving.

The recurring problem and where I observed it

How often it happens and how much time each case takes

The cost type: time, direct spending, cash timing, or possible lost business

My estimate, calculation, and assumptions

The record or conversation that could confirm or correct it

What would have to change for this to become a real business benefit?

Count each effect once. Keep uncertain lost-business estimates separate from observed costs. A late invoice and the same invoice's value are not two separate losses.

03 / MAKE THE WORK CLEAR

Keep the decisions that truly need you

Mistake 3: Making yourself the default owner of routine decisions.

A project manager asks Maya to approve a start date. Sales asks her to confirm an exception. Delivery wants her to settle which client goes first. Each request arrives as urgent. The team waits while she switches from one question to the next.

The issue may be missing authority or information. A capable employee still needs to know what they may decide and when they should ask for help.

Use three questions in order

- 01 Does this truly require the founder?**
Keep decisions that need your judgment or accountability. State why.
- 02 What prevents another person from owning it?**
Check information, skill, authority, process, and capacity.
- 03 Which parts follow stable rules?**
Once ownership is clear, simplify repeated steps or consider suitable automation.

Strategic work can be delegated. Repeated work can also require judgment. These questions avoid forcing a task into a single category that does not fit.

Give authority a useful boundary

Maya could let a delivery lead confirm a standard start date when intake is complete and capacity is available. Any change to the contract or a promise beyond capacity would need escalation. The owner needs access to the schedule and a record of the decision.

This is a proposed rule for the fictional example. Your limits should fit your commitments and the risks involved. Keep a way to raise unusual cases without making every ordinary case an exception.

Count the waiting as well as the interruption

Eight 15-minute interruptions consume two hours of founder time. Other people may also be waiting. Record that delay separately. Recovering your time is useful only if decisions remain sound and work moves forward.

First freedom move: move one routine decision to a prepared owner with clear limits and a review date.

PRACTICE 03

Make one decision safe to hand over

Leave with an owner, a boundary, and a way to review the decision.

Worked example: the delivery lead confirms standard starts from the shared schedule. Incomplete intake goes back to sales. Contract changes go to Maya. The team reviews the first few cases together.

One recurring decision and why it reaches me**The person who could own it and the support they need****What they may decide without asking me****The exception that must be raised, and to whom****Where the decision and supporting information will be recorded****The first cases we will review and the review date**

During one working week, log interruptions before changing the rule. That starting record helps you tell whether the change reduces dependence or simply hides problems.

04 / MAKE THE WORK CLEAR

Fix the handoff before you add capacity

Mistake 4: Hiring people to manage avoidable confusion.

Maya considers hiring another coordinator. Clients wait for start dates and her current coordinator spends hours chasing information. A second person might help. First, she follows one client from signed agreement to kickoff.

Sales uses a private checklist. Delivery asks for details already collected. Nobody checks whether the package is complete before scheduling. Another coordinator could inherit the same chase.

Compare the handoff before and after

Today	A change to test
Sales emails partial details.	Sales completes one shared intake record.
Delivery asks Maya what is missing.	Delivery checks agreed required items.
Maya settles routine dates.	The lead confirms dates within agreed limits.
The client calls for an update.	The named contact sends confirmation.

The test should show whether clearer work removes effort or simply moves it. Ask the people doing the work to help define the required information and the cases that need a different path.

Choose a response that fits the cause

Hire when sound work exceeds available capacity. Train when a person needs a skill. Clarify authority when decisions sit too high. Redesign when steps repeat or handoffs fail. Outsource when a suitable specialist is needed. Automate stable tasks when the benefit justifies the upkeep.

These responses can be combined. A redesigned process may still need another person. Training may be part of a new handoff. Check demand, workload, and the ability to adopt the change before deciding.

See what the confusion costs

Record repeated requests, time spent finding details, and cases that wait. Those costs can explain why a team is busy. They do not prove that a planned hire is unnecessary.

First freedom move: test one clearer handoff, then use the evidence to decide what capacity or skill is still missing.

PRACTICE 04

Choose what the work needs next

Leave with a reasoned response rather than an automatic hire.

Worked example: Maya tests a complete intake record before adding a coordinator. She will still consider hiring if demand exceeds the team's capacity after the handoff improves.

The work we need to do and the result it must produce

Where work waits, repeats, or arrives incomplete

What the current owner needs: capacity, skill, information, or authority

The response or combination of responses we will test

What would make hiring or outside help the better choice?

The owner, starting measure, and review date

Include the time needed to train people and adopt the change. A process that looks clear on paper still needs to work for the people using it.

05 / MAKE THE WORK CLEAR

Define the work before you buy AI

Mistake 5: Letting a convincing demo stand in for a business case.

Maya watches an AI assistant draft replies and book appointments. She imagines fewer questions in her inbox. During a realistic test, the tool offers a start date before checking capacity. It also answers a question that should have gone to a person.

The demonstration showed a capability. Maya still needs to define the job, the information it can use, and the decisions it must leave to the team.

Separate faster work from useful results

The Federal Reserve Banks' 2026 employer-firm report identifies accuracy and adapting tools to business needs among leading AI challenges for users. Its convenience sample does not provide precise estimates for every small business. [2]

McKinsey's 2026 survey also distinguishes individual productivity gains from financial impact across an organization. A faster task needs a clear connection to an outcome the business values. [3]

Choose rules or AI based on the task

A rule can send a reminder when a required field is empty. AI may help draft a reply from approved information. A workflow can use both. Start with the simplest approach that meets the need and can be checked.

Name what stays human: an unusual commitment, a sensitive customer issue, or a decision the tool cannot support reliably. Agree who notices an error, stops the workflow, and takes over.

Count the full work of adoption

Include setup, subscriptions, connections, data preparation, training, review, support, and replacement. Ask who owns the records, how data is handled, and how you can leave the service. NIST provides guidance on generative AI risks; the FTC covers small-business cybersecurity and vendor security. [4, 5]

First freedom move: require a tool to pass a realistic workflow test before you rely on it. Keep the person who owns the work involved in the buying decision.

WORKED TEST / AI OR AUTOMATION

Make the vendor prove your workflow

This fictional test is a starting example, not a guarantee of safe or reliable performance.

Test element	Maya's proposed test
Business problem	Routine client questions take the team away from delivery.
Specific task	Draft a first reply using approved service information.
Allowed inputs	Current service notes and fictional sample requests.
Required output	A useful draft that stays within approved facts.
Human boundary	An employee reviews every draft before sending.
Exception	Missing facts, unusual commitments, or conflicting information go to a person.
Test cases	Ordinary requests, incomplete questions, contradictory details, and requests for a start-date promise.
Pass condition	Every draft in this small test stays within approved facts; every defined exception is flagged. Review time is no greater than the current process.
Stop condition	The tool invents a commitment, exposes restricted information, or bypasses review.

Use the result to choose the next step

Passing a small sample supports another controlled test. It does not establish reliability in every case. Keep records, add cases from real work, and review errors as use expands.

If the tool fails, clarify whether the problem is missing source information, unclear instructions, an unsuitable tool, or a task that should remain with a person. A decision to wait or stop can protect time and money.

Compare the whole task, including checking and correcting drafts. Fast generation alone does not show a net time benefit.

PRACTICE 05

Write the test before the purchase

Leave with requirements a provider can demonstrate.

The business problem and the specific task we want to change

The approved information the tool may use

What a correct output must contain or avoid

The ordinary case and difficult exception we will test

Who reviews the result and what remains a human decision

Our pass condition, stop condition, and total-cost questions

Use test data that is appropriate to share. Ask the provider about access, retention, support, and leaving the service. Bring unresolved questions into the buying checklist on page 28.

Measure what the customer receives

Mistake 6: Treating more activity as proof of more value.

Maya’s team sends more updates this month. The dashboard looks better. Clients still ask when they can start. The messages are being sent, but they often lack a confirmed date or a clear next step.

Activity measures tell you what happened inside the business. Customer measures help you judge whether that work kept the promise. Use them together.

Pair effort with a result and a quality check

Activity	Customer result	Quality check
Intake records completed	Clients ready by the agreed start date	Required details are accurate.
Questions answered	Customer issue resolved	The answer creates no new error.
Invoices sent	Payment follows agreed terms	The invoice is correct and understood.

A result can be affected by several causes. If starts improve, check whether demand changed or clients became simpler. Keep the definition and time period clear before crediting a new process.

Use a measure that changes a decision

For the next ten eligible standard client starts, Maya could track how many meet the agreed date, how many need her intervention, and whether any avoidable commitment errors occur. Those are proposed measures, not reported results.

A small group of cases can reveal problems and guide the next test. It cannot prove that a process will always work. Record the number of cases as well as the percentage so one case does not disappear inside a neat score.

Look for the cost hidden by a busy dashboard

Count repeated work and founder interventions beside output. Faster replies that create another round of questions may shift effort rather than remove it. Ask what happened for the customer after the activity was completed.

First freedom move: pair one useful activity measure with a customer result and a quality check. Review the three together before expanding a change.

PRACTICE 06

Choose evidence that can guide a decision

Leave with a clear measure and a fair comparison.

Worked example: track complete intake records, starts that meet the agreed date, and avoidable commitment errors. Also record how many starts need the founder.

The activity we currently count and the promise it should support

The customer result we will measure and how we define it

The quality check that keeps speed from hiding errors

The starting result, number of cases, and time period

Who records the evidence and when we review it

What result would lead us to continue, adjust, or stop?

Keep the same definitions before and after the test. Note changes in demand, case difficulty, staffing, or other conditions that could explain a different result.

07 / BUILD LASTING INDEPENDENCE

Keep the business working when you step away

Mistake 7: Leaving critical knowledge, access, and relationships with one person.

Maya gives her delivery lead authority to confirm routine starts. Fewer questions reach her desk. Then she takes a week away. A client asks about an old commitment stored only in her email. The backup cannot find it, and the work stops again.

Delegating a decision solves one kind of dependence. Keeping the business running through an absence also requires shared knowledge, appropriate access, trusted relationships, and prepared backup owners.

Check four places where work can stop

Knowledge: Can someone find the current promise, process, exception, and decision history?

Access: Can an authorized person use the systems and records the task requires? Use proper account access rather than sharing personal passwords.

Relationships: Do important customers and providers know another person who can help?

Backup ownership: Who acts when the usual owner is absent, and what decisions may they make?

Test absence in stages

- 01 One routine case**
Observe a prepared owner handling the work without your input.
- 02 A short planned absence**
Step away for a suitable half-day or day. Agree what counts as an emergency.
- 03 A longer planned absence**
Expand only after the earlier test shows what holds and what needs repair.

Use a 30-day absence as a planning question, not a demand to disappear tomorrow. Ask what would stop across service, invoicing, collections, complaints, and operating decisions.

First freedom move: prepare one backup for a critical task and test their ability to complete it. Keep the checks needed to protect customers and the business.

PRACTICE 07

Find the next point of dependence

Leave with a continuity gap and a controlled absence test.

Worked example: Maya records the client's agreed exceptions where the delivery lead can access them. The lead and backup use that record in a routine case before Maya extends her time away.

If I were away for 30 days, what would probably continue?

What would stop because knowledge, access, or a relationship sits with me?

The critical task, its usual owner, and its backup

What the backup needs before we test their readiness

The small absence test and what counts as an emergency

What we will check afterward and before expanding the test

The aim is dependable work with a suitable response to exceptions. A quiet inbox alone does not prove that customers were served or problems were noticed.

SEE THE CONNECTIONS

Use one issue to connect the seven mistakes

Maya’s problem is a recurring handoff failure. The guide helps her examine it from several sides.

Question	What Maya learns in the fictional example
What does the customer value?	A dependable start and a clear contact.
Where might value be lost?	Repeated intake work consumes capacity and can delay billing.
Why does the founder get involved?	The team lacks complete information and clear scheduling authority.
What response fits?	Test a shared intake record and a clear owner before deciding on another hire.
Where might technology help?	A simple reminder can flag missing fields. AI is not required for that task.
What evidence matters?	Agreed start dates, avoidable errors, and founder interventions.
What must survive an absence?	The lead and backup can find commitments and handle ordinary cases.

Choose the cause you can actually test

Maya’s working hypothesis is that incomplete intake and unclear authority cause routine starts to reach her. She can inspect recent cases and test that explanation. If cases still stall, she needs to look again rather than declare the process fixed.

Your issue may have a different cause. Some companies need more capacity, different skills, or a change in what they promise. The value of the map is that it gives you a way to investigate before choosing.

The next pages turn your observations into one test. Keep the customer promise, the cost, and your desired freedom in view together.

YOUR STARTING POINT

Use evidence to find your weakest link

Rate each statement from 1 to 5. Use a recent example rather than a general impression.

1 Strongly disagree · 2 Disagree · 3 Unsure · 4 Agree · 5 Strongly agree. A strong answer elsewhere does not cancel a serious weakness. There is no total score or tested cutoff.

We know the result and experience our customers expect. Score 1-5

We can identify important delivery problems and their costs. Score 1-5

Routine decisions have owners with clear authority. Score 1-5

Critical handoffs have agreed information and responsibilities. Score 1-5

Technology purchases begin with a defined task and a test. Score 1-5

We measure customer results alongside useful activity. Score 1-5

Prepared owners and backups can keep critical work moving. Score 1-5

This is a reflection tool, not a validated assessment. Use low or uncertain ratings to choose what to investigate, not to label the whole business.

CHOOSE YOUR FIRST ISSUE

Pick a problem that matters and can be tested

Use the weakest ratings to name up to three candidates. Then choose one.

For each candidate, ask how often it happens, who is affected, what the evidence shows, and whether you can run a contained test. Serious customer or business risk may need prompt attention even if it happens rarely.

Candidate 1: the problem, recent evidence, and effect**Candidate 2: the problem, recent evidence, and effect****Candidate 3: the problem, recent evidence, and effect****The issue I choose and why it comes first****What I need to learn before choosing the response**

A useful problem statement names what happens, where, and to whom. “Three routine starts needed my approval last week because the required intake details were missing” is more useful than “we need better systems.”

WORKED EXAMPLE

See a complete First Freedom Move

Maya’s fictional plan shows how the worksheets become a small test.

Plan element	Maya’s proposed test
Choice to regain	Two uninterrupted mornings each week to develop a service.
Recurring problem	Routine starts reach Maya because intake is incomplete and authority is unclear.
Starting evidence	For two weeks, record standard starts, missing items, founder interventions, and delays. Establish the baseline before testing.
Customer promise	A dependable start date and a named contact.
Working hypothesis	A complete shared intake record and clear decision limits will reduce routine founder approvals.
Owner and support	The delivery lead owns confirmation. Sales owns completing intake. Both use the shared schedule.
Limits	Confirm only standard starts within available capacity. Escalate contract changes and promises beyond capacity.
Small test	Use the new handoff for the next ten eligible standard starts. Keep exceptions in the existing review path.
Success and quality	Aim for fewer founder interventions per eligible start than baseline, while meeting agreed dates and avoiding commitment errors.
Review decision	Review after ten eligible cases. Continue only if the evidence supports it; adjust or stop if quality worsens.

These are proposed conditions, not achieved results. Maya also needs to check whether the recovered time actually creates the choice she wanted.

YOUR FIRST FREEDOM MOVE / 1 OF 2

Define the problem and the change

Use one issue. Keep uncertain explanations clearly marked as hypotheses.

The recurring problem and the choice it keeps taking from me

The customer promise this change must protect

Starting evidence: frequency, delay, effort, or other observed cost

What I think causes the dependence and how I will check it

The small change I will test and the cases it will cover

A useful test is small enough to review and meaningful enough to teach you something. Resolve missing information before committing to a change that could affect customers.

YOUR FIRST FREEDOM MOVE / 2 OF 2

Give the test an owner and a fair review

Complete this page with the people who will do the work.

The owner and the information, skills, access, and authority they need

Decision limits, escalation trigger, and person to contact

The result we want, how we will measure it, and the quality check

The condition that would make us pause or stop

The start date, review date, and person collecting evidence

At review: what changed, what did not, and our next decision

Choose to continue, adjust, prepare further, wait, or stop. Then return to page 5: did the change give you more choice while protecting the customer promise?

YOUR NEXT 90 DAYS

Start small and let evidence set the pace

A small first test can begin before a wider business change is ready.

Begin with one manageable week

Record one recurring interruption. Trace a recent case with the people involved. Check what is missing, agree a small change, and prepare the owner. If the work is suitable, try one ordinary case and review it together.

Use this as a flexible starting sequence. More complex work may need longer preparation. Avoid rushing a test merely to meet a calendar target.

Days 1 to 30: understand and test

Confirm the customer promise and starting evidence. Complete your First Freedom Move. Run a contained test when the team is ready. Record the result, the exceptions, and the effort required.

Leave this stage with a clear problem statement and evidence from a test, or a specific reason more preparation is needed.

Days 31 to 60: improve what the test reveals

Compare results with the starting point. Ask whether demand, staffing, or case difficulty changed. Adjust the work, support, or decision limits. Keep what is useful and remove steps that add no value.

Leave this stage with a supported decision about whether to continue, expand, or stop the change.

Days 61 to 90: make the improvement dependable

Record the working process and prepare a backup. Test a suitable absence. Keep a light review of customer results and founder dependence. Choose the next issue only after the first change is understood.

Leave this stage with a process someone can use, an owner who can act, and evidence of what the change achieved.

BEFORE YOU BUY

Ask seven questions before you commit

Use these questions for software, a specialist, or a consulting engagement.

What specific problem will this solve?

Describe the work and who is affected without using a product name.

What customer or business result should improve?

Connect the response to a result, useful capacity, revenue protection, or another outcome you can recognize.

What evidence supports this response?

Separate actual results from projections, examples, and demonstrations. Ask what assumptions must hold.

What must change inside our company?

Include people, processes, data, systems, training, and leadership responsibilities.

What is the full investment?

Count fees, subscriptions, setup, support, review, internal time, maintenance, and possible replacement.

Who owns the work and what happens afterward?

Clarify documentation, access, data rights, vendor dependence, and who maintains the result.

How will we decide whether it worked?

Agree on a starting measure, a quality check, a review period, and what would lead you to stop.

Use the workflow test on page 15 when AI is involved. A credible provider should welcome informed questions. The decision should still make sense after the presentation ends.

ABOUT PATRICK / YOUR NEXT STEP

Bring one real business question

Start with the value customers already pay for and the work needed to protect it.

Patrick Meniboon, MBA brings 18+ years of Fortune 100 experience across value strategy, process architecture, and AI adoption. His management consulting practice works with founder-led businesses to connect the customer promise to the people, processes, and technology needed to deliver it.

The aim is to help businesses capture more return from the value they already deliver. Clearer work, useful measures, and informed investment decisions support that aim.

Take the next step with your team

If you have a contained issue, a prepared owner, and a sound test, use your First Freedom Move. Review the evidence together. You can use this guide without buying a product or consulting service.

Seek help when the cause remains unclear

An issue may cross several teams, decisions, or systems. If you cannot tell where it begins or which response fits, bring the problem, the evidence you found, and the question you still need to answer.

Book a Strategic Conversation

Begin with a 15-minute introductory conversation. Describe the challenge and decide whether a deeper assessment makes sense. The conversation establishes fit and the next useful step.

[Book a Strategic Conversation](#)

ask@patrickmeniboon.com

PATRICK MENIBOON

A Value Reimagineering Company



PATRICK MENIBOON, MBA
Founder & Principal Value Reimagineer

Value strategy. Process architecture.
Practical AI adoption.

RESEARCH AND FURTHER READING

Use research as context and your records as evidence

The numbered notes support specific ideas in the guide. They do not establish results for your business.

1 / Customer experience can influence loyalty

PwC. 2025 Customer Experience Survey, September 29, 2025. Consumer research on experience and reported reasons for leaving brands. These findings are not a direct estimate of behavior among your B2B customers.

[Read the PwC survey](#)

2 / AI must fit the work

Federal Reserve Banks. 2026 Report on Employer Firms: Findings from the 2025 Small Business Credit Survey, March 3, 2026. The report identifies accuracy and adapting tools to business needs as challenges for AI users. Its convenience sample limits population-wide interpretation.

[Read the employer-firm report](#)

3 / Individual speed and business impact differ

McKinsey. The State of AI in 2026: On the Road to ROI, August 25, 2026. Survey findings distinguish reported individual productivity gains from organization-wide financial impact. Survey results do not promise a return for a specific purchase.

[Read the State of AI survey](#)

Maya, her company, the sample calculations, and all proposed tests are fictional. They show how to think through a decision. Replace their assumptions with evidence from your own work.

RESEARCH AND USE NOTES

Keep people responsible for the decisions

Use guidance to ask better questions and adapt each test to the work.

4 / Review generative AI risks

National Institute of Standards and Technology. Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework: Generative Artificial Intelligence Profile. NIST AI 600-1, July 26, 2024. Guidance on identifying and managing risks in generative AI systems.

[Read the NIST profile](#)

5 / Ask how information and access are protected

Federal Trade Commission. Cybersecurity for Small Business. Practical guidance on business information, cybersecurity, and vendor security.

[Read the FTC guidance](#)

Use the worksheets in the way that suits you

Print the pages and write in the spaces, or type into the fields in a PDF reader that supports forms. Save a copy after completing them. Keep customer details and sensitive business information in systems approved for that purpose.

The scorecard has no validated cutoffs. The examples and decision prompts are educational. They do not replace advice specific to your legal, financial, tax, or technical security needs. Outcomes depend on your business and how changes are carried out.

Research links reviewed October 25, 2026.

© 2026 Courage Hacking LLC. All rights reserved.

Patrick Meniboon's consulting practice is operated by Courage Hacking LLC.

patrickmeniboon.com